Skeletal, dental and profilometric effects of Sabbagh Universal Spring 2 (SUS²) in a patient at the end of growth: a case report
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Malocclusions, which present a severe skeletal component, are difficult to solve through orthodontic treatment in patients at the end of growth and often require a combined orthodontic-surgical treatment. The mandibular propulsion appliances “no compliance” now offer new possibilities for functional orthopedic treatment in borderline cases.

Case presentation: A patient at the end of growth with a severe malocclusion (Class II Division 1), open bite with arches’ transversal discrepancy, refused the hypothesis of an orthodontic-surgical treatment, which represents the gold standard in such occlusal and skeletal problems, especially in subjects at the end of growth; consequently, a complex orthopedic-orthodontic treatment was chosen as the second choice. The patient has been successfully treated also through the use of SUS² (Sabbagh Universal Spring 2; Dentaurum, Ispringen, Germany), a “no compliance” fixed functional appliance, which carried out a significant sagittal correction.

Conclusion: The case report especially highlights the important sagittal correction obtained through the use of SUS². The SUS² had a functional outcome, which resulted in the maxillary growth stop and an effective sagittal mandibular growth increase. The SUS² effects, enhanced by elastics biomechanics, led to the bite closure and at the achievement of Class I occlusion.

CASE REPORT

An Italian girl, 12 years and 8 months old, high 1.75 m., came for an initial consultation.

The patient had had menarche when she was 11 years and 5 months old. Anamnesis was positive for thumb sucking until she was 10 years old.

The extra-oral clinical examination revealed the presence of a complete permanent dentition (except for the wisdom teeth), caries absence, good level of oral hygiene, healthy and normochromic gums.
Orthodontically the following findings emerged: Class II right and left canine and molar relationships, increased overjet (14 mm), anterior Open-bite (-3 mm), upper arch contraction (3 mm), ogival palate with premaxilla’s prognazia, persistence of mammellonia on the upper and lower incisor’s edges due to the lack of occlusal contacts, upper and lower dental midlines centered, square-shaped lower jaw, no crowding in the lower arch, presence of multiple diastemas in upper jaw and the presence of hypoplastic spots on the vestibular surface of 1.1 and 2.1. (Figure 1).

The clinical-functional examination showed a predominant nasal breathing and atypical swallowing with a tongue thrust forward.

The OPT radiograph revealed the presence of the four wisdom teeth’ germs still in endosseous retention. The mandibular structure appeared symmetrically developed and normal shaped. The maxillary sinuses were normally aired. The nasal septum deviation, formerly clinically manifested, has been confirmed. The PA teleradiography showed a symmetrical development of the facial skeleton (except the nasal septum deviation), the transverse contraction of the upper jaw and the anterior open-bite. The teleradiography L-L(TLL) and the related cephalometric values showed skeletal and dental malocclusion parameters on the sagittal and the vertical plane. (Figure 2)

The sagittal index was consistent with a skeletal Class II with mixed component: the premaxilla appeared to be in forward position and the hypoplastic lower jaw was confirmed by a skeletal discrepancy value (ANB) of 11° and a Wits value of 12 mm. The convexity angle was severely increased (NA-Pg: 23°). The vertical proportions were within average. The occlusal plane showed an ante-rotation compatible with the clinical situation of open-bite.

The dental index confirmed the remarkable proclination of the upper incisors (upper incisor / SN = 115°), the slight proclination of the lower incisors (lower incisor / Mandibular Pl = 94.5°) and the subsequent closure of interincisive angle (105°).

Examining the toothing anterior limit (A-Pg) the upper incisor was very advanced (upper incisor / APg = 14 mm), while the lower incisor resulted back-located (lower incisor / APg = 0 mm).

The plaster models examination confirmed an occlusal molar and canine relationship of complete Class II with significant overjet (14 mm), slight transversal contraction of the upper jaw (3 mm) and a normal Curve of Spee. (Figure 3)

Treatment Planning

The patient’s malocclusion showed sagittal problems (Class II), vertical problems (open-bite) and transversal problems (upper jaw contraction) and required a complex treatment. The patient age (12 years and 8 months old) and sex (female) indicated that the pubertal growth spurt was passed and only a little residual growth was available for the treatment. This was
confirmed by the cervical vertebrae evaluation: the patient was at CS4 stadium. The patient had had menarche when she was 11 years and 5 months old. Considering the occlusal and skeletal problems, the hypothesis of an orthodontic-surgical treatment, which represented the gold standard in such occlusal and skeletal problems, especially in subjects at the end of growth, was proposed to the patient and his parents. The patient’s parents refused the orthodontic-surgical treatment, so the orthopedic-orthodontic treatment was chosen as the second choice. 2

It was decided to perform the transversal correction of the upper jaw, using rapid palatal expander (RPE) banded on the first premolars and first permanent molars to achieve the maximum skeletal correction, relying on a not yet complete ossification of the sagittal median suture. Arches alignment and leveling, necessary to the Class II biomechanics preparation, have been achieved through a fixed straight wire appliance with MBT prescription. The Class II sagittal correction has been performed with the Sabbagh Universal Spring 2 (SUS2), a “no compliance” fixed functional appliance. During the treatment, elastics with an anterior box configuration and short intermaxillary elastics were used to facilitate the bite closure.

**Treatment progression**

The RPE was manufactured and then bonded on the 4th and the 6th (Figure 4). A 3 mm correction was achieved. The device was activated twice immediately after the bonding and then once per day for seven days. Since 0.25 mm expansion was gained per activation, after this first phase an overall correction of 2.25 mm was achieved. Afterward, the RPE was progressively activated in coordination to the sagittal correction achieved with the Sabbagh.

![Figure 4. RPE (Rapid Palatal Expander) installed.](image)

Then the patient was fitted with upper and lower orthodontic fixed appliances and progressed rapidly (0.014 Ni-Ti, 0.016 stainless steel, 0.019x0.025 stainless steel) to fit the SUS2 and use the potential residual growth. The SUS2 has been maintained for seven months to achieve a sagittal hypercorrection. (Figure 5)

![Figure 5. SUS2 (Sabbagh Universal Spring 2).](image)

At the same time of SUS2 action, three elastics have been applied in anterior position (Figure 6):

- 1 orthodontic “box” elastic, applied on “Kobayashi” ligatures on 12-22-32-42
- 2 orthodontic Class III elastics between pins-braces 13-43 and 23-33.

As soon as the SUS2 has been removed, TLL radiography was requested to control and to evaluate the skeletal and dental effects of the SUS2 by cephalometric analysis. (Figure 7)

![Figure 6. Arrangement of intermaxillary elastics.](image)

![Figure 7. TLL X-ray and cephalometric values in progress.](image)

Finally, aesthetic bends have been performed on the archwire to achieve the optimal occlusion before the debonding. A functional removable acrylic retainer has been realized with an anterior bite position to prevent relapses and to maintain the condylar position achieved. The retainers were put on the same day of the debonding. (Figure 8) An overview of the devices used and the times of action is summed in Figure 9.
Treatment Results

The final Records show a Class I molar and canine with normal values of overjet and overbite. (Figure 11) The final OPT confirmed a good root alignment without root resorption signs. (Figure 12) The superimposition of the cephalometric tracings between the pre-treatment and post-treatment showed a significant improvement in the sagittal relationship between the jaws due to a mandibular advancement, without an increase in the vertical dimension. (Figure 13)

The correction of overjet and overbite, canine and molar relationships and arches transversal relationships was achieved. The profilometric comparison between the start and the end of treatment showed significant results: a considerable aesthetic improvement with a reduction in the convexity (NA-Pg: 14°) and the increase in the anterior projection of the chin and lower lip. At rest, the patient no longer showed lip incompetence and after the overjet normalization, there was no longer interposition of the lower lip below the upper incisors. Small spaces distally to 3.2 and 2.2 have remained since the patient requested to have the appliances removed early prior the treatment was completed.
DISCUSSION

The severely increased overjet (14 mm) was due to a small lower jaw and caused by a prolonged thumb sucking habit. 3,4 This was also showed by the spaced upper dental arch and the proclination of the upper incisors. Also, the open bite and the anterior rotation of the occlusal plane 5 were a result of the finger sucking habit.

Since the growth peak was already gone, the reduced plasticity of jaw bone structures was requesting a quick orthopedic-orthodontic treatment. 6 An RPE was used to obtain a possible skeletal expansion on the upper jaw. 7 In fact, contracted upper arch prevents a mandibular forward advancement. Therefore, an initial correction of 2.25 mm was performed to let the lower jaw grow in a more forward position. The progressive mandibular advancement achieved by the SUS2 required a further gradual expansion of the upper maxilla to transversally coordinate the dental arches while the sagittal correction is performed.

The SUS2 (Dentaurum, Ispringen, Germany) is used as a mandibular propulsor 8 and it can be considered a fixed functional appliance like the Herbst and the Forsus (Forsus Fatigue Resistant Device 3M Unitek, Monrovia, CA); it was designed as a combination of Herbst and Jasper Jumpers with the aim to combine the advantages of these devices and to reduce their limits. The SUS2 is a telescopic device similar to Herbst but with a different type of activation. It consists of a telescoping rod located in a guide tube. Inside the tube has a spring that can be activated according to the level of forces desired. The device application is performed by engaging the Omega loop in the accessory tube of the 1st upper molar and inferiorly it is inserted on the arch between the first premolar and canine through an SUS arch adapter with the conical tip of the screw. 9

A recent study, carried out on a sample with an average age of 15 years and 3 months, shows that in all the patients, a correct Class I relationship was achieved in a 5 month time mainly due to dental-alveolar effects. In this age, significant skeletal changes have not been proved. 10

In this case-study the patient showed significant changes to SNA, SNB and ANB decreased from 11° to 5°. The decreased SNA (from 83° to 80°) according to Pancherz, 11, Valant and Sinclair shows an inhibition of the maxilla forward growth. This can also be explained by the theory of the skull base growth, which places the N point forward while the A point does not change, leading to a decrease of the SNA angle. Therefore, the SUS2 seems to have, in this patient, a stopping effect on the maxillary growth.

SUS2 helped the lower jaw to grow in a more forward direction; this, in combination with limited effects on the jaw, promotes the correction of the jaw sagittal relationships. In the patient, the SNB angle increased 3° during therapy. The more advanced lower jaw position is related to the increase of the mandibular length. This is due to a condylar growth induced by the bite-jumping.

The mandible anterior displacement causes the lengthening of the muscular and tendinous fibers, which are inserted on the condyle. The traction of these fibers on the bone surface is increased by the mandibular protrusion and induces a bone remodeling process. The jaw may also undergo a stable displacement, linked to a remodeling process of the articular fossa as a result of bite-jumping or to a temporary anterior displacement due to muscle conditioning type “Sunday bite”. 13

In the present case, there was a significant mandibular lengthening (Ar-Pg distance increased 3 mm) and a morphological condyle variation in the anterior growth direction.

The SUS2 had no effect on verticality (SN GoMe and Y-axis): according to the works of Weiland and Bantleon, Covell et al., 15, Pancherz et al. 11

There was an appreciable post-rotation of the occlusal plane (3° of variation). This is SUS2 characteristic effect formerly reported in other studies and it is determined by the intrusion of the upper molars (high or combi TEO-like effect) and the protrusion and intrusion of the lower incisors. 16

A further dental effect, produced by the SUS2, is the endo-inclination of the upper incisors. In the patient, the index upper incisor / SN is decreased from 115° to 105° with a total variation of 10°. The incisal tipping variation may also have been resulted in a retraction of the A point, contributing to the reduction in the SNA value.

At the lower incisors level the SUS2 causes intrusion and lip-tipping. 16 In this case, both effects did not occur: the intrusion was contrasted by the extrusive action of the “anterior box” configured elastics; the lip tipping has been prevented, giving crown-lingual torque to the anterior sector of the arch.
The elastics’ use contributed decisively to the bite closure and it was suspended only after obtaining a correct overbite. 17

It was decided to use a mandibular retainer, composed of a posterior retro-incisal wires, vestibular archwires and Adams clasps on 4.6 and 3.6. The maxillary retainer was composed of an acrylic splint with anterior bite, vestibular archwires and Adams clasps on 1.6 and 2.6. The retainer range of protrusion has been chosen to maintain mandibular advancement and to consolidate the excellent level of correction achieved.

CONCLUSION

This Case report describes how it can be dealt with a case of a patient at the end of growth with a severe malocclusion, open bite and arches’ transversal incongruity by means of orthopedic-orthodontic treatment, when the patient refuses an orthodontic-surgical treatment, much more appropriate in such occlusal and skeletal problems, especially in subjects at the end of growth.

In this case, the orthopedic-orthodontic therapy demonstrated to be an excellent option instead the challenging orthognathic surgery and permitted a rapid resolution of the malocclusion, exploiting optimally the residual growth potential of the patient. The SUS had a functional outcome, which resulted in a maxillary growth stop and a effective sagittal mandibular growth promotion. The SUS effects, enhanced by elastics biomechanics, led to the bite closure and the achievement of the correct occlusion.
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